

## CITY OF ST. AUGUSTINE

### Corridor Review Committee Meeting July 7, 2022

The Corridor Review Committee met in formal session at 2:00 P.M., Thursday, July 7, 2022, in the Alcazar Room at City Hall, St. Augustine, Florida. Michael Dixon, Chair, called the regular meeting to order, and the following were present:

**1. ROLL CALL:** Michael Dixon, Chair  
Vaughn Cochran, Vice-Chair  
Lorna McDonald

**City Staff:** Julie Courtney, Historic Preservation Officer  
Candice Seymour, Historic Preservation Planner  
Isabelle Lopez, City Attorney  
Elyse Wiemann, Recording Secretary

---

#### **2. General Public Comments for Items not on the Agenda**

(None)

#### **3. Approval of Minutes**

##### **MOTION**

**Ms. MacDonald MOVED to APPROVE the April 7, 2022 meeting minutes as presented. The motion was SECONDED by Mr. Cochran and APPROVED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE.**

Mr. Dixon announced the next meeting would be held on August 4, 2022 in the Alcazar room.

#### **4. Modification and approval of Agenda**

##### **MOTION**

**Mr. Cochran MOVED to APPROVE the Agenda as presented. The motion was SECONDED by Ms. MacDonald and APPROVED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE.**

#### **5. New Business**

#### **5. (a) HP2022-0041 Modifications to the Standards – Sweet Weddings, Inc.– Applicant**

#### **142 King Street LLC– Owner 142 King Street**

**To utilize colors for signage that are not listed as pre-approved in the King Street Entry Corridor.**

Ms. Seymour read the staff report and said based on a review of the King Street Design Standards for Entry Corridors and without evidence to the contrary the CRC may make the following motion in relation to this application:

1. APPROVE application HP2022-0041 for a modification to utilize colors for signage that are not listed as pre-approved in the King Street Entry Corridor with the finding that strict application of the standards is not warranted, and the modification will not have a material impact on adjacent uses and fulfills the intent of the Standards

All findings must be proven by the applicant and accepted by the CRC to grant a modification. In doing so, the CRC recognizes that the approval is unique to this property and its conditions and does not establish any precedent.

If these findings cannot be made based upon this application and any additional testimony the Modification can be denied

and the applicant may seek an appeal before the City Commission.

Sammy Hale and Arlene Forest reviewed the application.

Public hearing was opened; however, there was no response.

Ex Parte Communication:

(None)

Board Discussed:

- Building would be a multi-tenant and the applicant would occupy 30%
- Colors were used for the packaging and had been printed
- Colors presented were sharp and nice
- Colors asked for were navy blue, white and gold
- No sample of the sign with the approved colors, but in the staff report for viewing
- In favor of approving the colors as presented

#### **MOTION**

Mr. Cochran MOVED to APPROVE HP2022-0041 for the modification. The motion was SECONDED by Ms. MacDonald.

#### **VOTE ON MOTION:**

AYES: Cochran, MacDonald, Dixon

NAYES: NONE

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

#### **6. Other Business**

**(None)**

#### **7. Adjournment**

#### **MOTION**

Ms. MacDonald MOVED to ADJOURN the CRC meeting. The motion was SECONDED by and APPROVED by UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 2:11 P.M.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Transcribed by Elyse Wiemann